INTERDISCIPLINARY CORRUPTION RESEARCH NETWORK
Overview

1. What is our story?
2. What we do?
3. What is our outreach?
4. How can you contribute?
Once upon a time...
Once upon a time...

When all you have is a hammer, everything looks like a nail.
How to conceptualize corruption?
Understanding corruption to design effective policies
2nd Interdisciplinary Corruption Research Forum
June 15-16 2017 Sciences Po Paris

Keynotes
Kath Hall – Australian National University / ACRN
Paul Heywood – Nottingham University
Matthew Stephenson – Harvard University
Research Agenda

ecpr
European Consortium for Political Research

ICPP3
International Conference on Political Psychology 2017

SABE
Society for the Advancement of Behavioral Economics

[Image of a group of people seated at a table]
Summer School 2016 - Kiev

ACREC
ANTI-CORRUPTION RESEARCH & EDUCATION CENTRE
Publications

How to research corruption?

Conference Proceedings
Interdisciplinary Corruption Research Forum
June 2016 Amsterdam
Starke, Wickberg, Aurelia & Köbis (forthcoming). *Don’t hate the Player, hate the Game! The Effects of Media Framing about Corruption on Emotional Responses and the Perception of Responsibility.*

Köbis & Huss (2017) *Ein Atlas zur Unterscheidung von Korruptionsformen*
Collaboration & consultancy

- U4 Anti-Corruption Resource Centre
- Transparency International
- United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- Council of Europe
- Open Government Partnership
- Education for Justice
- Interdisciplinary Corruption Research Network
KickBack is a collaborative effort with Global Anti Corruption Blog

Goal:
- bring people who are interested in corruption closer together
- spark debate about the topic beyond the purely academic discourse.

Method:
- interviews with leading experts on corruption and anti-corruption including researchers, journalists, prosecutors and civil actors.

Where to find it
- The Interdisciplinary Corruption Research Network (ICRN) website
- iTunes
- Soundcloud
- Stitcher
- Spotify
- Facebook
Future Aspirations

- Develop methods to facilitate collaborations between researchers and practitioners
- Organize Fora/ conferences
- Conduct trainings, workshops and consultancies
- Collaborative teaching
- Interdisciplinary research
- Expand the network
How to contact us

- [https://www.icrnetwork.org/](https://www.icrnetwork.org/)
- [@ICR_Network](https://twitter.com/ICR_Network)
- [Kickback - The Anticorruption Podcast](https://www.icrnetwork.org/podcast)
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KickBack
THE GLOBAL ANTICORRUPTION PODCAST
Where were the studies conducted?
Typical bribery game

Abbink, Irlenbusch & Rennder (2001)
Preliminary Results 1: Are women less corrupt?

A) Do women offer less bribes?
B) Do women accept less bribes?
Preliminary Results 1: Do women offer less bribes?

No significant difference ($Q = 2.74; p = .09$) but trend towards women offering less bribes.
Preliminary Results 1: Do women offer less bribes?

Meta-regression analysis with robust variance estimation: small significant effect ($\beta = -0.00142; t = -2.13; p = 0.0365$)
Preliminary Results 1: Do women accept less bribes?

No significant difference ($Q = 0.03; p = .85$)
Preliminary Results 1: Do women accept less bribes?

Meta-regression analysis with robust variance estimation: no significant effect ($\beta = -0.0002; t = 0.31; p = 0.758$)
Does punishment reduce bribe acceptance?

No significant difference: $Q < .01, p = .97$
Does punishment reduce bribe acceptance?

Significant difference: Higher levels of corruption in the absence of punishment ($Q = 12.69$, $p = 0.0004$)